

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS			
DEANNA PIERCE			CITY OF PHILADELPHIA				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lane J. Schiff, Esquire			Attorneys (If Known)				
Console Mattiacci Law LI Philadelphia, PA 19102		t, 9th Floor					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		na Pau Ordu)	III CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
		re Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I)	lot a Party)		TF DEF I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				The second secon	of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Rox Only)	Confinement					
X 1 Original ☐ 2 Re		Remanded from C Appellate Court		ferred from			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	42 U.S.C. 82000e, et seq. (")	itle VII"), 42 U.S.C. 81981 (%	re filing <i>(Do not cite jurisdictional sto</i> Section 1981"), 43 P.S. 8951, et sea. ("PHE uployer for race discrimination :	atutes unless diversity): (A"): and the Philadelphia Fair Practi	ces Ordinance, as amended, Code §9-1101, et seq. ("PFPO").		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$ in excess of \$75,000	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: e: ▼ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD December 11, 2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
	MOUNT	APPLY IFP	JUDGE_	MAG. JUI	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYL VANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: Philadelphia, PA 19116 Address of Defendant: 1401 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction; (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes No X Yes N°⊠ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge_ Case Number. Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes NoX action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes No No terminated action in this court? CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 2 G FELA 3 Assault, Defamation 3. I Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. D Patent 6 Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. X Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 8. Habeas Corpus 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. D Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Lane J. Schiff, Esquire , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sough DATE: December 11, 2017 NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. **December 11, 2017** 314179

APPENDIX I

CIVIL ACTION

NO.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DEANNA PIERCE

CITY OF PHILADLEPHIA

Plaintiff,

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, couns plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the tifiling the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reside of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and set the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the trawhich that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	me of everse g said eve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)
December 11, 2017 Date Attorner-at-law Lane J. Schiff, Esquire, Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff (215) 545-7676 Telephone (215), 405-2964 FAX Number E-Mail Address	for Deanna Pierce
(Civ. 660) 10/02	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Deanna Pierce Philadelphia, PA 19116

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

٧.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1401 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant. :

<u>COMPLAINT</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Deanna Pierce, brings this action against her employer, the City of Philadelphia ("Defendant"). Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff based on her race in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq. ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §1981 ("Section 1981"), the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA"); and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, as amended, Phila. Code §9-1101, et seq. ("PFPO"). Defendant also retaliated against Plaintiff based on her complaints of unlawful treatment and subjected her to a hostile work environment, in violation of Section 1981. Defendant's conduct also deprived Plaintiff of her constitutional rights of the Equal Protection Clause of the

Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 ("Section 1983"). Plaintiff seeks all damages allowable under the law.

II. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Deanna Pierce, is an individual and a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
 - 2. Plaintiff is Native American.
- 3. Defendant, the City of Philadelphia, is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its principal office located at 1401 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19102.
- 4. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and regularly does business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- At all times material hereto, Defendant employed more than fifteen
 (15) employees.
- 6. Defendant has engaged in a pattern and practice of considering characteristics such as race when making employment decisions.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant instituted, acquiesced in, ratified and/or made employment decisions based on consideration of characteristics such as race.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of Defendant's business.
 - 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted as an employer within

the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.

- 10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted under color of state law.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. The causes of action which form the basis of this matter arise under Section 1981, Section 1983, Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 13. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Section 1981) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 14. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count II (Section 1983) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 15. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count III (Title VII) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 16. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Count IV (PHRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 17. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Count V (PFPO) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 18. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. §2000(e)-5(f).
- 19. On October 5, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of acts of discrimination alleged herein. This Charge was cross-filed with the Pennsylvania

Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the EEOC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).

- 20. On September 14, 2017, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue for Charge of Discrimination. Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of the notice with personal identifying information redacted.
- 21. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 22. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or around March 2002 as a Social Worker.
- 23. In or about 2005, Plaintiff was promoted to the position of Social Work Supervisor. Plaintiff currently holds this position.
- 24. Plaintiff has consistently performed her job duties in an excellent manner.
- 25. Plaintiff applied for a Human Services Program Administrator positions. These positions would have been a promotion for Plaintiff.
- 26. Plaintiff was qualified for the Human Services Program Administrator position.
- 27. On or about July 11, 2016, Plaintiff learned that she was not selected for the Human Services Program Administrator position.
 - 28. Defendant hired Jennifer Albandoz (Hispanic) and Adrienne Lyde

(African American) for the Human Services Program Administrator position.

- 29. Plaintiff was significantly more qualified than Albandoz and Lyde for the Human Services Program Administrator positions.
- 30. By way of example, Plaintiff had significantly more experience than Albandoz and Lyde and scored higher than Albandoz on her civil service examination.
- 31. On or about September 6, 2016, Robert Tomaszewski, Deputy Commissioner of Administration, informed Plaintiff that he was aware that Defendant had been searching for a Hispanic individual to fill the Human Services Program Administrator position, which is why Albandoz was promoted over Plaintiff.
- 32. As a result of the discriminatory conduct to which she was subjected, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC on or about October 5, 2016, alleging race discrimination.
- 33. On or about October 5, 2016, Plaintiff served a copy of her Charge of Discrimination on Defendant's attorneys.
- 34. As set forth below, after Plaintiff made complaints regarding Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Defendant failed to take remedial and/or corrective action but instead retaliated against Plaintiff, subjected her to further race based discrimination, and subjected her to a hostile work environment.
- 35. Defendant issued Plaintiff an unjust disciplinary action in the form of a written Employee Violation Report. Defendant did not follow its progressive discipline policy in issuing the same. After Plaintiff was forced to attend an

investigative hearing regarding the discipline action, she was informed that the disciplinary action was unsubstantiated.

- 36. Defendant treated Plaintiff differently, and in a more hostile and dismissive manner, than non-complaining employees.
 - 37. Defendant denied Plaintiff overtime approval.
 - 38. Defendant denied Plaintiff lunch breaks.
- 39. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the requisite supervisory support, which it provided to other employees in Plaintiff's position.
 - 40. Defendant denied Plaintiff full time clerical support.
- 41. Defendant denied Plaintiff's request to attend the American Correctional Association conference. Plaintiff attended this conference in order to obtain her requisite certification credits and had previously been permitted to attend.
- 42. To Plaintiff's knowledge, Defendant gave approval for other employees to attend the conference. Plaintiff has not been given a reason as to why Defendant refused to allow her to attend the conference.
- 43. On or about June 9, 2017, Plaintiff filed a second Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, regarding the continued race discrimination and retaliation to which she was being subjected. Plaintiff advised Defendant of the filing on that same day.
- 44. On or about August 15, 2017, Plaintiff applied for an open Community Justice and Outreach Director position. This position would have been a promotion for Plaintiff.

- 45. Plaintiff was qualified for the Community Justice and Outreach Director position.
- 46. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, the only applicants for the Community Justice and Outreach Director position were Leroy Pendleton (African American) and Plaintiff.
- 47. Pendleton was substantially less qualified for the Community

 Justice and Outreach Director position than Plaintiff. By way of example, Plaintiff had significantly more experience.
- 48. On or about August 23, 2017, Plaintiff learned that she was not selected for the Community Justice and Outreach Director position and that Pendleton had been selected for the same.
- 49. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with any explanation as to why Plaintiff was denied the promotion.
- 50. Defendant continued to treat Plaintiff in a hostile and dismissive manner.
- 51. On or about August 31, 2017, Plaintiff requested to speak with Terrell Bagby, Deputy Commissioner of Restorative and Transitional Services, regarding the hostile and retaliatory conduct to which she was being subjected. Plaintiff was seeking Bagby's permission to speak with the Office of Professional Compliance ("OPC") and file a complaint regarding the unlawful conduct to which she was being subjected.
- 52. Bagby refused to speak with Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff that she must go through the chain of command.

- 53. Bagby instructed Plaintiff to speak with Albandoz and request her permission for Plaintiff to speak with OPC.
- 54. Per Bagby's direction, Plaintiff requested that Albandoz allow her to speak with OPC regarding the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which she was being subjected.
- 55. Albandoz responded by criticizing Plaintiff and yelling at her to "go ahead with your show, go ahead with yourself, get out of my face."
- 56. Plaintiff thereafter filed a complaint with OPC regarding the unlawful treatment to which she was being subjected. Defendant unjustly determined that Plaintiff's complaint was "Not Sustained."
- 57. Due to the unlawful treatment to which Albandoz has subjected Plaintiff, Plaintiff requested, on multiple occasions, that Defendant remove her from Albandoz' supervision. Defendant denied Plaintiff's requests.
- 58. Although Plaintiff has repeatedly complained to Defendant regarding the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which she has been, and continues to be, subjected, Defendant has not informed Plaintiff of any action that it has taken to investigate her prior complaints of discrimination and retaliation.
- 59. Defendant has not taken action to remedy and/or prevent the hostile work environment and retaliation to which Plaintiff has been subjected because of her race and her complaints about Defendant's unlawful conduct.
- 60. As a result of Defendant's continued discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff has taken intermittent medical leave pursuant to the Family Medical Leave Act.

- 61. Plaintiff's race was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff, including its failure to promote Plaintiff into the Human Services Program Administrator positions.
- 62. Plaintiff's race was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff, including its failure to promote Plaintiff into the Community Justice and Outreach Director position.
- 63. Plaintiff's engaging in protected activity was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendants' retaliatory treatment of Plaintiff, including failing to promote Plaintiff into the Community Justice and Outreach Director position.
- 64. Plaintiff's race and/or engaging in protected activity was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's unlawful treatment of Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, subjecting her to a hostile work environment, unjustly disciplining Plaintiff, denying Plaintiff overtime approval, denying Plaintiff lunch breaks, denying Plaintiff full-time clerical support, denying Plaintiff the requisite supervisory support, and denying Plaintiff's request to attend the American Correctional Association conference.
- 65. Defendant failed to prevent or address the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct referred to herein and further failed to take corrective and remedial measures to make the workplace free of discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 66. The retaliatory action taken against Plaintiff after she complained of unlawful conduct would have discouraged a reasonable employee from

complaining of discrimination.

- 67. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory, harassing, and retaliatory conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 68. Defendant acted with malice, reckless indifference, and/or deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights.

COUNT I – Section 1981

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 70. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination, harassment, and retaliation, Defendant has violated Section 1981.
- 71. Said violations were done with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 72. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Section 1981, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 73. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory, harassing, and retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

74. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT II – Section 1983

- 75. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 76. Defendant's discriminatory, harassing, and retaliatory conduct, as set forth herein, deprived Plaintiff of equal protection under the law as quaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 77. Defendant's violation of the constitution included policies, practices, and/or customs to treat Native American employees less favorably than non-Native American employees, which was committed, directed, implemented, and/or ratified by officials of Defendant in supervisory capacities with policymaking and decision-making authority.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's acts and conduct which caused and continue to cause Plaintiff to be denied equal protection under the law, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer those injuries, damages, and losses alleged herein and has incurred and will incurred attorneys' fees.
- 79. The wrongful acts and conduct of Defendant were done with deliberate indifference to the statutory and constitutional rights of Plaintiff.

COUNT III - Title VII

80. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.

- 81. By failing to promote Plaintiff to the Human Services Program Administrator position Defendant has violated Title VII.
 - 82. Said violation was done with malice and/or reckless indifference.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 84. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 85. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT IV - PHRA

- 86. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 87. By failing to promote Plaintiff to the Human Services Program Administrator position, Defendant has violated has violated the PHRA.
 - 88. Said violation was intentional and willful.
- 89. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorney's fees and costs.
- 90. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injuries and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.

91. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT V - PFPO

- 92. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 93. By failing to promote Plaintiff to the Human Services Program Administrator position, Defendant has violated the PFPO.
 - 94. Said violation was intentional and willful.
- 95. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PFPO, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorney's fees and costs.
- 96. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injuries and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 97. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendant's improper conduct, and specifically prays that the Court grant the following relief to the Plaintiff by:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of Section 1981;
 - (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be

in violation of Section 1983;

- (c) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of Title VII;
- (d) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PHRA;
- (e) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PFPO;
- (f) enjoining and permanently restraining the violations alleged herein;
- (g) entering judgment against Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (h) awarding compensatory damages to make the Plaintiff whole for all lost earnings, earning capacity and benefits, past and future, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;
- (i) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future pain and suffering, emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, and loss of life's pleasures, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;
- (j) awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under Title VII, Section 1981, Section 1983, the PHRA, and the PFPO;
- (k) awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, expert fees and other disbursements, and reasonable attorney's fees; and,

(l) granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper, or equitable including other equitable and injunctive relief, providing restitution for past violations, and preventing future violations.

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

Dated: December 11, 2017

Stephen G. Console (366 Lane Schiff (314179) 1525 Locust St., 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 545-7676

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Deanna Pierce

EXHIBIT A

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION			AGENCY	CHARGE NUMBER	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See privacy statement before consolidating this form.			Q FEPA X EBOC	3 5	
STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY: Pennsylvania Human Relations Commissi Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations					
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Desauta Pierce HOME TELEPHONE N				IBBR (Include Area Code)	
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP Philadelphia, PA 19116				DATE OF BIRTH	
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP, COMMITTEE, STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one than list below)					
NAME NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS City of Philadelphia: >15 Philadelphia Department of Prisons			TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (215) 685-8436		
TREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP 201 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136				COUNTY Philadelphia	
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appropriate box(es)) X Race Q Color Q Sex Q Religion Q National Origin Q Retaliation Q Age Q Disability Q Other (Specify)			DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE Earlies: Latest 07/11/2016		
The Particulars Are:	والمراجع والمراجع المراجع المراجع والمراجع المراجع الم				
A. 1. Relevant Work History					
I was hired by Respondent in or around Mar in 2005. I continue to work as a Social Work Services Program Administrator, who report Services. Bagby reports to Blanche Carney,	Supervisor at Res s to Terrell Bagby	spondent. I	currently repo	rt to Jennifer Albandoz, Human	
I am a Native American female. Respondent failed to promote me twice throughout my fourteen (14) years of service to Respondent. Instead of promoting me, Respondents promoted an African American Individual the first time, and a Hispanic individual the second time. The second time Respondent denied me a promotion was on July 11, 2016. I had the qualifications, experience, training, and requisite years with Respondent to have received the promotion; yet, Respondent gave the position to a Hispanic employee, Albandoz, whom I have guided and supported in the role of a seasoned supervisor when she transferred over to Social Worker, and in the role of a supportive peer after her recent promotion to Social Work Supervisor. Albandoz has much less experience, less training, and fewer certifications than me but is now my supervisor.					
1 consistently demonstrated excellent performance and dedication to Respondent. By way of example, in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2015, and 2016, I received a performance review with an overall rating of Outstanding.					
if any, I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures			NOTARY - (when necessary for State and Local Requirements)		
			I swear of affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge information and bolief.		
I declare under penalty or perjury that the foregoing is true an	id correct.				
Dute: Charging Party (Signature): 10/3/16 IRacauce	Tierca s		F COMPLAINANT AND SWORN TO F nd year)	SEFOREME, THIS DATE	

Page 2 of 4 Initials of Charging Party –

2. Harm Summary

Respondent discriminated against me because of my race (Native American) in connection with its failure to promote me into a position for which I applied and was the most qualified candidate. Evidence of the discriminatory conduct to which I have been subjected includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) In or about February 2015, Respondent began interviewing candidates to fill its Human Services Program Administrator position.
- (b) I first interviewed for the Human Services Program Administrator position in February 2015.
- (c) Each applicant for the Human Services Program Administrator position was required to take a Civil Service Oral Examination before a panel, which I and the other applicants took on October 31, 2014.
- (d) To the best of my knowledge, I was one (1) of seven (7) candidates who applied and testad for the Human Services Program Administrator position.
- (e) My seworker, Albandoz (Hispanie), also applied for the Human Services Program position.
- (f) Albandoz has much less experience and fewer qualifications than I have.
- (g) I tested at number two (2) out of the candidates who applied and tested for the position.
- (h) To the best of my knowledge, Albandoz tested at number four (4) out of the candidates who applied and tested for the position.
- (i) The Human Services Program Administrator position that was to be filled—and for which I had applied and interviewed—had been vacant for over six (6) months.
- (i) In October 2015, I was asked to temporarily fill the Human Services Program Administrator position in the absence of Terrell Bagby, who previously held the position and who is the current Deputy Commissioner. I held the temporary position until the end of November 2015.
- (k) Civil Service Regulations state that after 60 days, Respondent must permanently fill the position with the temporary employee. However, Respondent did not permanently keep me in the position; instead, other employees continued to temporarily fill the position.
- On June 24, 2016, I Interviewed for the second time for the Human Services Program Administrator position.
- (m) On or about July 11, 2016, Respondent informed me by a general letter that I did not receive the promotion.
- (n) Respondent stated that it evaluated "the relative knowledge, skills, and abilities of all candidates," and that it took "employment records into consideration in an effort to choose the individual whose overall qualifications best fit the requirements of the position."

Page 3 of 4 Initials of Charging Party –

- (o) Respondent provided me with no explanation as to why I did not receive the position.
- (p) On or about July 11, 2016, I was notified that Albandoz received the promotion to the Human Services Program position.
- (q) I was stunned. I was the most qualified and experienced candidate for the Human Services Program Administrator position.
- (r) I was considerably more qualified and experienced than Albandoz.
- (e) I had received a higher test score than Albandoz.
- (t) I had a longer tenure as a Social Worker and Social Work Supervisor at Respondent than Albandoz.
- (u) Instead of me, the less experienced and less qualified Hispanic employee received the promotion.
- (v) By way of example, Albandoz had only had approximately five (5) years of experience as a Social Work Supervisor, whereas I had over eleven (11) years of experience as a Social Work Supervisor at Respondent—plus, I worked an additional one and a half (1.5) years as a Social Work Supervisor prior to my promotion to the position eleven (11) years ago.
- (w) My experience and certifications, none of which Albandoz has, include but are not limited to the following: an American Correctional Association certification, certifying me as a Certified Correctional Supervisor; training in hostage negotiations Levels 1 and 2; membership in the Prisons Hostage Negotiation Team; supervising fourteen (14) staff members and a facility with 1200 inmates. Albandoz has never had the experience in her social work career at Respondent to supervise this amount of work and responsibility.
- (x) I have attended over 150 hours of training seasions in the last three years.
- (y) Albandoz has only worked in a very isolated part of Respondent's Social Service Department, and does not have the required extensive understanding on how 75% of the Department she is now responsible for operates.
- (z) Because this was the second time that I have interviewed for a premotion and was not selected. I am now permanently removed from the active list of candidates.
- (aa)I requested and was granted the right to review my personnel file following Respondent's failure to promote me to Human Services Program Administrator.
- (bb)My personnel file showed that Respondent found me to be acceptable for the position.
- (cc) On September 6, 2016, Robert Tomaszewski, Deputy Commissioner of Administration at Respondent, Informed me that he was aware that Respondent had been searching for a Hispanic Individual to fill the Human Services Program Administrator position, which is why Albandoz was promoted over me.
- (dd) in the same conversation. Tomaszewski told me that Respondent's Commissioner, Carney, stated that Albandoz did not have anywhere near the experience that I have.

EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 4 of 4 Initials of Charging Party –

- (ee)The Human Services Administrator position was given to a Hispanic individual because of race.
- (ff) Respondent was searching for a Hispanic employee to fill the position of Human Services Program Administrator, and denied me the promotion based on my race.
- (gg)I heard comments by other employees of Respondent suggesting that, during the mayor's transition, Respondent was looking to hire an African American Commissioner of Prisons so that the mayor could hire a white Fire Commissioner.
- (hh)Upon information and belief, Respondent has a pattern and practice of considering race when making employment decisions, including hiring and promotions.
- B. 1. Respondents' Stated Reasons
 - (a) Respondent has falled to provide me with any legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for falling to hire me for a position for which I applied and was the most qualified candidate.
- C. 1. Statutes and Bases for Allegations

I believe that Respondent has discriminated against me based on my race (Native American) in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII"); the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq. ("PHRA"); and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, as amended, Phila. Code § 9-1100, et seq. ("PFPO") as set forth herein.

INFORMATION FOR COMPLAINANTS & ELECTION OPTION TO DUAL FILE WITH THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Deanna Pierce v. City of Philadelphia: Philadelphia Department of Prisons

You have the right to file this charge of discrimination with the Pennsylvania Human Relation Commission (PHRC) under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. Filing your charge with PHRC protects your state rights, especially since there may be circumstances in which state and federal laws and procedures vary in a manner which would affect the outcome of your case.
Complaints filed with the PHRC must be filed within 180 days of the act(s) which you believe are unlawful discrimination. If PHRC determines that your PHRC complaint is untimely it will be dismissed.
If you want your charge filed with the PHRC, including this form as part of your EEOC charge with your signature under the verification below, will constitute filing with the PHRC. You have chosen EEOC to investigate your complaint, so PHRC will not investigate it and, in most cases, will accept EEOC's finding. If you disagree with PHRC's adoption of EEOC's finding you will have the chance to file a request for preliminary hearing with PHRC.
Since you have chosen to file your charge first with EEOC, making it the primary investigator agency, the Respondent will not be required to file an answer with PHRC, and no other action with PHRC is required by either party, unless/until otherwise notified by PHRC.
If your case is still pending with PHRC after one year from filing with PHRC, you have the right to file—your complaint in state court. PHRC will inform you of these rights and obligations at that time. [Sign and date appropriate request helps]
X I want my charge filed with PHRC. I hereby incorporate this form and the verification below into the attached EEOC complaint form and file it as my PHRC complaint. I request EEOC to transmit it to PHRC.
X I understand that false statements in this complaint are made subject to the penalties of Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
X Diappe Reca 10/2/14 Signature and Date
I do not want my charge dual filed with PHRC
Signature and Date
,我们就没有帮助。""我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就没有一个人,我们就没有一个人,我们就没有一个人,我们就会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会 "我们就是我们就是我们的,我们就是我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的我们的,我们就是

EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

	Diditioon				
	na Pierce	From:	Philadelphia filet let Ciffe i 801 Market Street		
Philad	delphia, PA 19116		Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107		
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose	identity is			
TEOC Chara	CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)) EEOC Representati	ve	Telephone No.		
EEOC Charge	B 140.				
530-2017-0	00082 Legal Unit		(215) 440-2828		
THE EEO	IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARG	E FOR THE FOLLO	WING REASON:		
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a c	laim under any of the	statutes enforced by the EEOC.		
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.				
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.				
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge				
X	The state of the s				
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.				
	Other (briefly state)				
	₩. .*				
	(See the addition	CE OF SUIT RIGH anal information attached	to this form.)		
Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)					
Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.					
		On behalf of the Cor	nmission		
	بے	R	9/14/2017		
Enclosures		Kevin J. Berry, cting District Direct	(Date Mailed)		
C L 1	ouncan Lloyd CITY OF PHILADELPHIA Labor & Employment Unit 515 Arch Street, 16th Floor	CON 1525	nen G. Console SOLE MATTIACCI LAW Locust Street delphia, PA 19102		

). 9.